

Figure 3. UV-vis spectra of (TPP)AlCl in (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (b) acetone, and (c) 2-propanol under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature.
for the signals of 2-propanol are also ascribed to the coordinative interaction with (TPP)AlCl.

The UV-vis spectral patterns for (TPP) AlCl in acetone and 2-propanol (Figures 3 b and 3c) were both different from that in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (Figure 3a) but similar to those for the six-coordinate complexes from 1 and Lewis bases such as 1-methylimidazole and tetraethylammonium acetate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{3,12}$ This observation and the NMR profiles mentioned above clearly demonstrate the possible coordinations of ketone and alcohol with (TPP) AlCl from the back side to generate the six-coordinate complexes. In sharp contrast, no change was observed for the NMR spectra of ketone and alcohol upon mixing with (TPP)AlOCH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$, which is much inferior to (TPP) AlCl in terms of both catalytic activity and stereoselectivity. Thus, in the re-
duction catalyzed by the chloroaluminum porphyrins, (TPP) AlCl and (EtioP) AlCl , the hydrogen transfer from carbinol to carbonyl group is affected by the coordinative interactions of substrates with the Lewis acidic metal center of the catalyst. The attempted reaction of cyclohexanone and 2-propanol with (TPP) AlCl in basic solvents such as tetrahydrofuran and pyridine resulted in no reduction of the substrate, probably due to the neutralization of the Lewis acidity of the catalyst by the preferential coordination of the solvent molecule.

The reduction of methylcyclohexanones with secondary alcohols catalyzed by aluminum porphyrin involves two competitive hydrogen-transfer processes, one of which leads to the reduction of methylcyclohexanones to the axial alcohols and the other results in the slow epimerization of the axial alcohols once produced to the equatorial alcohols (Table I and Figure 1). High stereoselectivities observed in both processes suggest that these two reactions proceed with a prominent steric effect of the bulky catalyst, chloroaluminum porphyrin.

## Conclusion

Diastereoselective and enantioselective hydrogentransfer reactions were observed in the reduction of ketones with alcohols by using the chloroaluminum porphyrins, (TPP)AlCl and (EtioP)AlCl, as catalysts. Coordinative interactions are present both for the ketones and alcohols with the Lewis acidic aluminum atom of the catalyst, leading to the facile hydrogen transfer under mild conditions. The reactions take place with a marked steric effect of the bulky porphyrin ligand around the metal center. Apart from the biological viewpoint, limited attempts have been reported to utilize metalloporphyrins as catalyst for synthetic reactions. The present development discloses a potential utility of metalloporphyrins as catalysts for the steric control in organic syntheses.
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#### Abstract

A one-pot, three-carbon ring expansion involving intramolecular aldol condensation and subsequent retro-aldol cleavage is induced by treatment of $\beta$-keto esters with potassium tert-butoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide to afford functionalized 8 -, $9-, 10$-, and 15 -membered rings, respectively. The stereochemistry of intermediate 21 was established to be a cis-fused carbocyclic ring system with the methyl ketone in the cis position. The mechanism for the three-carbon ring expansion is explained by considering the dual function of the electron-withdrawing group (EWG). An iterative ring expansion was accomplished by the facile conversion of 8 to 20 . Application of this ring expansion method to the synthesis of ( - -muscone further attests to the generality of this reaction.


We recently found a one-pot, three-carbon ring expansion by treatment of carbocyclic $\beta$-keto esters with a 4-
oxopentyl function at the $\alpha$-position with potassium tert-butoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide. ${ }^{19}$ Medium-sized cy-

Scheme I

(1) $\mathrm{n}=5, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$
(2) $n=6, R=E t$
(3) $n=7, R=M e$

cloalkanones are now easily prepared from preexisting smaller rings, using this ring expansion technique. As a part of our studies on ring conversion, ${ }^{1}$ we sought to improve the three-carbon ring expansion and apply it to a variety of carbocyclic $\beta$-keto esters. We envisaged that the ring expansion of carbocyclic $\beta$-keto esters with (ethoxycarbonyl)propyl or cyanopropyl function at the $\alpha$-position would be more synthetically useful, because the ring expanded $\beta$-keto esters or $\beta$-keto nitriles can undergo further elaboration such as alkylation ${ }^{2}$ and decarboxylation ${ }^{3}$ as well as iterative ${ }^{4}$ ring expansion. Therefore, this reaction would be complementary to the Dieckmann (or Thorpe-Ziegler) reaction, ${ }^{5}$ an important synthetic procedure to prepare carbocyclic five- and six-membered ring ketones with an ester or a nitrile at the $\alpha$-position.

Now, we describe the construction of functionalized medium- and large-sized cyclic $\beta$-keto esters (or nitriles) using this reaction. The stereochemistry of the intermediate 21 initially formed by intramolecular aldol condensation was chemically established, and mechanistic considerations are discussed. Finally, a facile synthesis of $(-)$-muscone attests to the generality of this ring-expansion procedure.

## Results and Discussion

Ring Expansion of Carbocyclic $\beta$-Keto Esters (5-, 6-, and 7 -Membered Rings) with a 4-Oxopentyl Function at the $\alpha$-Position. Compounds 1-3 were prepared via alkylation of the corresponding carbocyclic $\beta$ keto esters with 5 -chloropentan-2-one ethylene acetal ( $t$ BuOK/DMSO) and subsequent treatment with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid in methanol. Treatment of 3 with potassium tert-butoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide at room temperature followed by quenching with acetic acid yielded regioselective ring-expansion product 6 in $78 \%$ yield. The structure of 6 is based on its spectral analysis, a double doublet of $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ proton appearing at 3.42 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, and the signal of newly formed two tertiary carbons $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ at 59.6 and 52.4 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. An $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ test on 6 was positive, indicating the presence of a 1,3-dicarbonyl function. In a manner similar to that described above, 1 and 2 gave 4 and 5 in $62 \%$ and $54 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme I).

Ring Expansion of Carbocyclic $\beta$-Keto Esters with an (Ethoxycarbonyl)propyl or a Cyanopropyl Func-
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Scheme III

tion at the $\alpha$-Position. Compounds 7-9 and 13-14 afforded the expected ring-expansion products, ( $10,55 \%$; $\mathbf{1 1}, 49 \% ; \mathbf{1 2}, 58 \% ; \mathbf{1 5}, 63 \% ; \mathbf{1 6}, 57 \%$ ). The characteristic structure of these compounds could be assigned by $270-$ $\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy in each case. For example, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compounds 10 , $11,12,15$, and 16 , the signals for $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{H}$ were observed at $\delta 3.25(\mathrm{~m}), 3.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18$ $(\mathrm{m})$, and $3.42(\mathrm{~m})$, respectively. The ratio of diastereomers was estimated to be 1:1-1:2 on the basis of the ester signals.

But, only 17 preferred Thorp-Ziegler condensation to give the spiro-ring 18 in $52 \%$ yield to the ring expansion. The structure of spiro compound 18 was supported by disappearance of the ethoxy unit in its MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Scheme II). Examination of Dreiding stereomodels ${ }^{6}$ suggests that the anion adjacent to the cyano
(6) Dreiding Steromodels; Büchi-Laboratotiums-Technik AG (Swiss).


Scheme IV

group occupies a sterically favorable position to attack the ester function rather than the ketone (see 17-A). Ring expansion of 8 followed by trapping with ethyl 4 -bromobutyrate afforded 19 in $67 \%$ yield. On treatment with t-BuOK, 19 was further enlarged to 12 -membered ring ketone 20 in $51 \%$ yield (Scheme III). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 20 showed a triplet signal at 3.30 ppm for $\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{H}$, in addition to a tertiary carbon of $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ at 52.18 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. Thus, this iterative ring expansion provides a novel three-carbon ring-expansion method.

Stereochemistry of Intermediate 21 and Mechanistic Consideration. Intermediate 21 was isolated by treatment of 3 with t -BuOK/THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To clarify the stereochemistry of 21 concerning in the pathway of ring expansion, we embarked on the following chemical transformation (Scheme IV). Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of 21 with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ followed by methanolysis with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ afforded the five-membered lactone 23 . The lactone structure of 23 is supported by a $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ absorption in the IR spectrum, in addition to the disappearance of methyl signal in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Facile formation of 23 indicates that the methyl ketone in 21 should be cis relative to the angular methyl ester. By treatment with 2,2-dimethoxypropane/p- $\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$, 23 was converted to acetonide 24. Compound 24 showed a characteristic singlet at 3.68 ppm assignable to angular methyl ester in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Therefore, the stereochemistry of 21 was confirmed to be a cis-fused carbocyclic ring system with the methyl ketone in the cis position. An examination of molecular models strongly suggested that intramolecular aldol condensation of 3 would take place from the anti direction to the angular methyl ester to form the cis-fused ring system.

The chemistry of 21 proved intriguing (Scheme V). For example, treatment of 21 with L-Selectride (Aldrich) or $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded bicyclo[4.4.1]undecanone derivative 25, and the expected reduction product was not obtained. The two quaternary carbons ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ) and two carbonyl carbons for 25 were observed as singlets at $66.5,81.5,176.4$, and 218.5 ppm in the off-resonance spectrum, respectively. The formation of 25 could be explained as follows (Scheme VI). The generated O-anion as shown in 21-A induced facile retro aldol condensation to give 21-B, the ketone of which may be somehow masked by chelation with lithium (or sodium) to reduce its car-


Scheme V

Scheme VI

(21-A)

bonyl character. It is reasonable to presume an equilibrium occurs between enolates 21-B and 21-C, the latter undergoing intramolecular aldol condensation to yield exclusively 25. Based on the assumption that the attack of the carbanion would take place on the $s i$ face of the methyl ketone to achieve the required trajectory, ${ }^{7}$ the stereochemistry for 25 was temporarily assigned as $5 R$ configuration. Reaction of 21 with lithium acetylide also

afforded 25, and the addition product was not obtained. When 21 was subjected to reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ at room temperature, lactone 26 was isolated in $60 \%$ yield. Based on the assumption that attack of hydride ion from the re face of the methyl ketone, in which hydrogen bond may be formed between the carbonyl and OH functions, the $\left(S^{*}\right)$-OH configuration may be predominantly obtained.

(7) Baldwin, J. E. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 2939.
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Treatment of 25 with t -BuOK or MeONa gave exclusively the ring-expanded product 6. Compound 21 could be also converted to 6 by treatment with t -BuOK. This finding suggests that the three-carbon ring expansion process is thermodynamically preferable to the dehydration process. On the other hand, Hesse ${ }^{1 b}$ failed to observe the ring expansion from $\alpha$-nitrocyclopentanone or $\alpha$-nitrocyclohexanone with methyl 3-oxo-4-pentenoate since a thermodynamically favorable proton transfer from the $\beta$-keto ester occurs instead of retro aldol cleavage (ring expansion). ${ }^{8}$ Trost explained the difficulty of ring enlargement in his reaction, on the basis of strain energy calculations showing that ring expansion from a simple cyclohexanone is $6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ endothemic and that of a cycloheptanone is $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ endothemic. ${ }^{9}$ We have rationalized the successful three-carbon ring expansion by taking the dual function of EWG in I into consideration (Scheme VII). One is that cis-cis interaction of ester, O -anion, and EWG in II should facilitate the cleavage of bond. The other is that the anion of ring-expanded product III is rapidly trapped by intramolecular acidic proton of $\beta$-keto ester. As a consequence, the carbanion of the ring-expanded product is highly stabilized by the enolization of the 1,3-dicarbonyl group (IV).

Application of the Three-Carbon Ring Expansion to the Synthesis of (-)-Muscone. The new approach to ring expansion provides a practical route to the hitherto difficult preparation of medium-sized rings. To test the feasibility of this reaction, we undertook the ring expansion of 12 -membered ring $\beta$-keto esters 27 and 28 with cyanopropyl or (ethoxycarbonyl)propyl function at the $\alpha$-position. As expected, the ring-expanded cyclopentadecanones 29 and 30 were obtained in $64 \%$ and $61 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme VIII).

This finding allowed us to apply this ring expansion to a novel total synthesis of (-)-Muscone. ${ }^{10}$ According to this strategy (Scheme IX), ethoxycarbonylation of commercially available 31 with ethyl cyanoformate afforded 32 in $89 \%$ yield. Alkylation of 32 with ( $S$ )-4-bromo-3-methylbutanenitrile ${ }^{11}$ gave 33 ( $85 \%$ yield), which was exposed to

[^1]Scheme VIII


(29)
(30)
the ring-expansion conditions to afford 34 in $70 \%$ yield. Keto aldehyde 37 was obtained via acid hydrolysis followed by reduction with $\mathrm{LiAIH}_{4}$, then oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate (overall yield $50 \%$ ). Compound 37 was characterized by absorptions at 2720,1730 , and $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum. Decarbonylation of 37 with Wilkinson's complex ${ }^{12}$ afforded ( - )-muscone in $40 \%$ yield. This synthesis provides novel access to ( - )-muscone (seven steps from 31, overall yield $13 \%$ ) in its natural form.

## Conclusion

We have developed a one-pot, three-carbon ring-expansion reaction to synthesize medium- and large-sized rings. A new mechanism for this process is proposed by considering the dual function of the EWG in I. Among synthetic approaches ${ }^{13}$ to medium- and large-sized rings, the reported method is simple and affords functionalized medium- to large-sized cyclic $\beta$-keto esters (or nitriles). Furthermore, the facile synthesis of (-)-muscone demonstrates the usefulness and generality of the reaction.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. NMR spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at 270 MHz . Each reaction was carried out under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and monitored by TLC (silica gel 60F-254 plates). DMSO was distilled in the presence of $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ before use. All organic solvent extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator. Unless otherwise indicated, each product was purified by flash chromatography ( $230-400$ mesh silica gel) and obtained as oily substances. The purity of all title compounds was judged to be $>95 \%$ by TLC and GC.

General Ring-Expansion Procedure: Methyl 4-Acetyl-5oxocyclodecanecarboxylate (6). To a freshly distilled DMSO solution ( 8 mL ) of sublimed t-BuOK ( $265 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was added dropwise a DMSO solution ( 5 mL ) of methyl 2-oxo-1-(4-oxopentyl)cycloheptanecarboxylate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 5 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acetic acid ( 0.5 mL ) was added to quench the reaction. The reaction mixture was diluted with brine ( 5 mL ), followed by extraction with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc-hexane) to give 390 mg ( $78 \%$ yield) of $\mathbf{6}$ as a colorless oil: $R_{f} 0.48$ in EtOAc-hexane ( $2: 1$ ); IR 1740-1690 (br), 1635, 1440, 1360, $1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.40-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{33} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 24.2,25.1,25.9$, 28.7, 29.4, 29.8, 31.4, 43.0, 51.8, 52.4, 59.6, 170.1, 202.9, 215.5; MS $m / e$ (relative intensity) $254\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 223(2), 211(1), 194$ (7), 178
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(15), 112 (76); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z 254.3263$, found 254.3251.

Ethyl 4-Acetyl-5-oxocyclooctanecarboxylate (4). According to general ring expansion procedure described above, 4 was obtained as a colorless oil in $62 \%$ yield: $R_{f} 0.60$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:1); IR 1740, 1710, $1440,1360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.40-2.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $11 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.2,20.7,26.1,27.4$, $29.0,29.4,38.0,48.9,59.8,61.5,169.6,202.9,213.5 ;$ MS m/e 240 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 212$ (2), 195 (12), 166 (11), 149 (100); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd $m / z 240.2994$, found 240.2971 .

Ethyl 4-acetyl-5-oxocyclononanecarboxylate (5): 54\% yield; $R_{f} 0.53$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:1); IR 1710 (br), 1640, 1440, $1360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.40-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 13$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3$ Hz ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.1,25.0,25.3,25.9,27.2,28.8,34.1,42.1,50.5$, 59.9, 61.3, 169.7, 203.2, 212.7; MS $m / e 254$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 211 (2), 209 (12), 181 (2), 124 (49); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 254.3263, found 254.3291 .

Methyl 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-oxocyclooctanecarboxylate (10): $55 \%$ yield, a colorless oil; $R_{i} 0.42$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:2); IR 1730 (br), 1660, 1450, 1370, $1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.25$ (t, 2 $\mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 3.25$ (m, 1 H ), 3.67 (s, 2 H ), 3.73 (s, 1 H ), $4.16\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{4} / 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 4.18 ( $\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} /{ }_{3} \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS $m / e 256\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 228(2), 224$ (36), 210 (12), 197 (12), 192 (2), 123 (100); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ calcd $m / z 256.2988$, found 256.2998 .

Ethyl 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-oxocyclononanecarboxylate (11): $49 \%$ yield, a colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.52$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:2); IR 1710 (br), 1660, 1450, 1370, $1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.26(\mathrm{t}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.40-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $2.30-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{t}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(\mathrm{t}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS m/e $284\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 238$ (36), 210 (24), 136 (47), 108 (51); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 284.3526, found 284.3543.

Methyl 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-oxocyclodecanecarboxylate (12): $58 \%$ yield, a colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.53$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:2); IR 1740, 1710, 1450, 1370, $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.35-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / e 284$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4$ ), 239 (1), 224 (1), 179 (9), 146 (30); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd $m / z$ 284.3526, found 284.3549.

Methyl 4-cyano-5-oxocyclooctanecarboxylate (15): $63 \%$ yield, a colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.56$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:1); IR 2250, 1720
(br), 1650, 1460, 1340, $1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.60-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.20-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $m / e 209\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 178$ (16), 150 (8), 122 (17), 110 (39); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 209.2451, found 209.2446.

Methyl 4-cyano-5-oxocyclodecanecarboxylate (16): $57 \%$ yield, a colorless liquid; $R_{f} 0.49$ EtOAc-hexane (1:1); IR 2250,1740 , $1710,1640,1460,1370,1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.20-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 12$ H), 2.10-2.90 (m, 3 H), $3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5$ H); MS m/e $237\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9\right), 209$ (3), 181 (16), 141 (39), 112 (100); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 237.2988, found 237.2969.

1,6-Dioxospiro[5.4]decane-2-carbonitrile (18): $52 \%$ yield, a yellow oil; $R_{f} 0.30$ in EtOAc-hexane (1:10); IR 2950, 2250, 1760, $1710,1640,1460,1370,1260,1200,1140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.60-2.30$ (m, 6 H$), 2.35-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $m / e 191$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 28$ ), 164 (54), 136 (19), 120 (24); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 191.2284, found 191.2296.

Iterative Ring Expansion of Ethyl 1-(3-(Ethoxy-carbonyl)propyl)-2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (8). To a solution of t -BuOK ( $554 \mathrm{mg}, 4.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 10 mL ) compound $8(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 4.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ethyl 4 -bromobutyrate ( 0.65 $\mathrm{mL}, 4.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The whole mixture was stirred for 14 h and quenched with $20 \%$ acetic acid ( 5 mL ). The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting crude oil was purified via flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with EtOAc/hexane, 1:4) to afford 845 mg ( $48 \%$ yield) of ethyl 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-(3-(ethoxycarbonyl)propyl)-5-oxocyclononanecarboxylate (19) as a colorless oil: $R_{f} 0.52$ in EtOAc / hexane (1:2); IR 2950, 1740, 1720, $1450,1370,1340,1260,1180,1100,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.20-1.38$ (m, 9 H ), 1.40-2.05 (m, 14 H ), 2.05-2.60 (m, 5H), 4.00-4.38 (m, 6 H ); MS m/e 398 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 370 (1), 352 (6), 307 (7), 274 (5), 201 (10).

According to general ring-expansion procedure described above, ethyl 4,7-bis(ethoxycarbonyl)-8-oxocyclododecanecarboxylate (20) was obtained from 19: $51 \%$ yield, a colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.49$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:2); IR 2945, 1730 (br), 1710, 1450, 1370, 1250, $1180,1100,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.10-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-2.70$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.96-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; FDMS $m / e 398\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22\right), 35$ (100).

Methyl ( $1 S^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 8 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-1-Acetyloctahydro-8a-hydroxy$3 \mathrm{a}(1 H)$-azulenecarboxylate (21). To a suspension of t -BuOK ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 3(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The stirring was continued for 2 h at -78
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the reaction was quenched with acetic acid ( 1 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ether $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude oil was subjected to flash chromatography (elution with EtOAc/hexane, $1: 3)$ to give 640 mg of 21 ( $64 \%$ ) as a colorless liquid: $R_{f} 0.58 \mathrm{in}$ EtOAc/hexane (1:2); IR $3460,1710,1690,1430,1350,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.40-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.6,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; MS $m / e 254\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 236(28), 223$ (3), 211 (2), 194 (19), 176 (39); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z 254.3263$, found 254.3245 .

Methyl ( $1 S^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 8 \mathrm{a} \mathbf{S}^{*}$ )-1-(Acetyloxy)octahydro-8a-hydroxy-3a(1H)-azulenecarboxylate (22). To a solution of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ (prepared from $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $(200 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 21(150$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h and quenched with addition of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude oil was purified by preparative chromatography (developer with EtOAc/hexane, 1:2) to afford 97 mg of $22(61 \%)$ as a colorless liquid: $R_{f} 0.42 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane (1:2); IR 3450, 1710, 1700, 1430, $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.40-2.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 270.3257, found 270.3215 .
( $1 S^{*}, 3 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 8 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-Hexahydro-8a-hydroxy- $3 \boldsymbol{H}$-1,3a-ethano-1 $H$-cyclohepta[ $c$ ]furan-3-one (23). The suspension of $22(95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(138 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The mixture was diluted with water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times$ 30 mL ). After usual workup, the resulting residue was subjected to preparative chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1) to give 62 mg of $23(85 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $R_{f} 0.60$ in EtOAc/hexane ( $1: 1$ ); IR 3450, 1770, 1440, $1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.20-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$, 4.3 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS m/e $196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15\right), 178(24), 134$ (37), 112 (100); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 196.2462, found 196.2491.

Methyl ( $3 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 5 \mathrm{a} R^{*}, 10 \mathrm{a} S^{*}$ )-Octahydro-2,2-dimethyl-5H-azuleno[1,8a-d]-1,3-dioxole-5a-carboxylate (24). A solution of $23(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}), 2,2$-dimethoxypropane ( 1 mL ), acetone $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $p-\mathrm{TsOH}(5 \mathrm{mg})$ in dimethylformamide was stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The mixture was diluted with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (elution with EtOAc/ hexane, $1: 3$ ) to afford 27 mg of $24(40 \%)$ as well as the recovery of 23 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ): $R_{f} 0.66$ in EtOAc / hexane (1:1); IR 1705, 1440, $1370,1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-2.35$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 14 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.46 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS $m / e 268$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 72$ ), 253 (100), 211 (39), 193 (52), 161 (27), 151 (95); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z 268.3532$, found 268.3561.

Methyl ( $1 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ )-5-Hydroxy-5-methyl-11-oxo-bicyclo[4.4.1]undecane-1-carboxylate (25). To a solution of $21(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1 mL ) was added L-Selectride $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was quenched with $3 \% \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was quenched with $3 \% \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times$ 30 mL ). The combined extracts was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by preparative chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:2) to give 80 mg of 25 ( $80 \%$ ) as a colorless liquid: $R_{f} 0.55$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:2); IR 3460, 1690, $1430,1360,1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.40-2.05$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 14$ H), 2.91 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.71 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 22.7,25.4,26.1,27.2,30.5,30.8,34.0,43.9,51.2,51.8$, $66.5,81.5,176.4,218.5 ; \mathrm{MS} m / e 254\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right), 236$ (2), 205 (20), 177 (18), 138 (100); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (M+) calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 254.3263$, found 254.3275 .
( $3 S, 4 \boldsymbol{R}^{*}, 4 \mathrm{a} S^{*}, 9 \mathrm{a} R^{*}$ )-Octahydro-4a-hydroxy-3-methyl-1H-4,9a-ethanocyclohepta[ $c$ ]pyran-1-one (26). To a solution of $21(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (17 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in one portion at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The whole was stirred for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with brine and then extracted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ). After usual workup, the resulting residue was purified by preparative
chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:2) to afford 25 mg of 25 (35\%) and 54 mg of 26 ( $60 \%$ ) as colorless needles recrystallized from hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{118-120}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3450 (br), 1710,1440 , $1370,1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50-2.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H} J=6.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 17.8,18.2$, $20.3,20.4,27.3,29.5,31.6,34.5,50.4,55.4,75.2,81.2,177.5$; MS $m / e 224\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 202(8), 180(19), 151$ (87), 135 (90).

Ethyl 4-cyano-5-oxocyclopentadecanecarboxylate (29): $64 \%$ yield, a colorless oil; $R_{f} 0.36$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:4); IR 2250 , 1745, 1710, 1470, 1440, 1370, 1250, 1200, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ $1.24(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.45 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.27(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS $m / e 321\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 293$ (5), 275 (29), 247 (6), 220 (4), 197 (11).

Ethyl 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5-oxocyclopentadecanecarboxylate ( 30 ): $61 \%$ yield, a colorless liquid; $R_{f} 0.45$ in EtOAc / hexane (1:4); IR 1740, 1710, 1470, 1445, 1370, 1340, 1250, $1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.23(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.30-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 22$ H), $2.35-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; FDMS $m / e 368\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 322(12)$.

Synthesis of (-)-Muscone. Ethyl 2-Oxocyclododecanecarboxylate (32). To a solution of lithium diisopropylamide (prepared from $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(16.5 \mathrm{~mL} 26.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylamine ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL )) was added cyclododecanone $(4 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then hexamethylphosphoramide ( $2.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by dropwise addition of ethyl cyanoformate ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was warmed up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then poured into cold water ( 20 mL ). After extraction with ether ( 4 $\times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed by usual workup, the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (elution with EtOAc/hexane, 1:5) to afford 4.97 g of $32(89 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $R_{f} 0.45$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:8); IR 2950, 1745, 1710, 1470, 1440, 1370, 1260, $1240,1180,1020 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.35(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.30$ (br, 18 H ); 2.30-2.70 (m, 3 H ), 3.61 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.7,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.16 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS $m / e 254$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 209 (3), 182 (31), 139 (15), 111 (42).

Ethyl 1-((2S)-3-Cyano-2-methylpropyl)-2-oxocyclododecanecarboxylate (33). To a solution of t-BuOK ( 530 mg , 4.72 mmol ) in DMSO ( 8 mL ) was added $32(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $30 \mathrm{~min},(S)-4$-bromo-3-methylbutanenitrile ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 4.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The stirring was continued for 16 h , quenched with water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (elution with EtOAc/hexane, 1:10) to afford 925 mg of 33 (71\%) as a colorless liquid: $R_{f} 0.36$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:5); IR 2250, 1735, 1710, 1470, 1440, 1370, 1240, 1200, $1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.30-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.18(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 335\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8\right), 320(5), 307(3)$, 289 (52), 261 (6), 81 (28); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 335.4856, found 335.4866 .

Ethyl (3R)-4-Cyano-3-methyl-5-oxocyclopentadecanecarboxylate (34). According to general ring expansion procedure, $34(70 \%)$ was obtained as a colorless liquid: $R_{f} 0.31$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:5); IR 2250, 1740, 1710, 1470, 1445, 1370, 1240, $1190,1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12$ (d, $1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.35(\mathrm{br}, 23 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; MS m/e $335\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15\right), 326(6)$, 289 (79), 262 (15), 221 (6); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd $m / z$ 335.4856, found 335.4870.
(3R)-3-Methyl-5-oxocyclopentadecanecarboxaldehyde (37). A mixture of 34 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in concentrated HCl was heated under reflux for 48 h . The mixture was poured into water ( 5 mL ) and then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed to leave a residue, which was purified by flash chromatography using a short column (elution with EtOAc/hexane, $2: 1$ ) to afford 131 mg of ( $3 R^{*}$ )-5-(hydroxy-carbonyl)-3-methyl-1-cyclopentadecanone (35) as a yellow oil: $R_{f}$ 0.31 in EtOAc/hexane (2:1); IR $3500-3000$ (br), $1750,1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

To a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(67 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry ether ( 10 $\mathrm{mL}), 35(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 5 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further refluxed for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (10 mL ) and then extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After usual workup, the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatog-
raphy (elution with EtOAc/hexane, 2:1) to give 82 mg of ( $3 R^{*}$ )-5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-methyl-1-cyclopentadecanol (36) (87\%) as a colorless liquid: IR $3400(\mathrm{br}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; FDMS $m / e 270\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$, 252 (11).
A mixture of $36(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridinium chlorochromate ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h and filtrated on Celite. The filtrate was subjected to the usual workup to leave a residue, which was purified by a short flash column eluted with ether to afford 53 mg of 37 ( $90 \%$ yield) as a colorless liquid: $R_{f} 0.39$ in EtOAc/hexane (1:5); IR $2940,2720,1730,1710,1470,1440,1365,1240,1190,1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6$ Hz ), 1.29 (br, 23 H ), $2.10-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.74$ (d, $0.25 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 9.75 (d, $0.75 \mathrm{H}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); FDMS $m / e 266$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 265(6), 238$ (19), 125 (10), 110 (4); HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd $m / z$ 266.4228, found 266.4231.
( $R$ )-3-Methyl-1-cyclopentadecanone (38). A mixture of 37 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 3 mL ) was refluxed for 8 h . After cooling, EtOH ( 2 mL ) was added. The mixture was diluted with brine ( 5 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) followed by usual workup. The resulting crude product was purified by preparative chromatography developed with EtOAc/hexane (1:2) to afford 7.2 mg of 38 $(40 \%)$ as a colorless liquid: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-11.4^{\circ}(c=0.70, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR
$2950,1718,1460,1430,1380,1320,1280 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.15-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / e$ $238\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right), 223(3), 195(23), 164(4)$; HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ calcd $m / z$ 238.4136, found 238.4149.
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#### Abstract

A general method for the preparation of cis- and trans-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines from conjugated dienes has been developed. The approach involves a stereocontrolled syn- or anti-1,4-addition of an amino and an oxygen function to the diene via palladium catalysis. Subsequent stereospecific cyclization produces the pure cis- and trans-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines, respectively. The method was applied to the synthesis of an ant venom alkaloid from the species Monomorium latinode.


Pyrrolidines that are stereospecifically substituted in the 2 - and 5-positions have attracted interest for two reasons: (i) there are many natural products with this structure, ${ }^{1-3}$ (ii) 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines have found use as chiral auxiliaries. ${ }^{4,5}$

A number of stereoselective methods for the synthesis of pyrrolidines have been reported during the last decade. ${ }^{2,4-8}$ Although there are many procedures for the

[^3]
preparation of cis- and trans-2,5-dialkylpyrrolidines, both isomers are not usually available via the same approach. We have recently developed methodology for the functionalization of conjugated dienes, that offers a dual control of the 1,4 -relative stereochemistry. ${ }^{9,10}$ This is based on
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